

**OREGON INTERNATIONAL PORT OF COOS BAY
COOS BAY CHANNEL MODIFICATION
SECTION 203 FS/EIS**

PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT PLAN

Prepared for:

Oregon International
Port of Coos Bay

Prepared by:

David Evans and Associates, Inc. and Cogan Owens Cogan, LLC



January 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>Section</u> | <u>Page No.</u> |
|---|-----------------|
| 1. ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS..... | 1 |
| 2. PROJECT BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION | 1 |
| 3. PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THIS PLAN..... | 4 |
| 4. DISTRIBUTION AND UPDATES | 5 |
| 5. PROJECT COMMUNICATION AND COORDINATION..... | 5 |
| 5.1 Project Mailing List..... | 5 |
| 5.2 Project Web Site..... | 5 |
| 5.3 Communication with Media, Elected Officials, Interest Groups and General Public | 6 |
| 5.3.1 Media Communications..... | 6 |
| 5.3.2 Communication with Elected Officials | 6 |
| 5.3.3 Communications with Interest Groups and General Public..... | 7 |
| 5.4 Meeting Notes | 7 |
| 5.5 Comment Collection, Tracking and Response Program | 7 |
| 5.6 Informational Materials..... | 7 |
| 6. AGENCY INVOLVEMENT METHODS | 8 |
| 6.1 Project Interest Committee..... | 8 |
| 6.2 Regulatory Compliance Work Group..... | 8 |
| 6.3 Other Interested Agencies and Tribes..... | 9 |
| 6.3.1 Interested Agencies..... | 9 |
| 6.3.2 Tribes | 9 |
| 6.4 Briefings | 9 |
| 6.5 Protocols | 9 |
| 7. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT METHODS | 10 |
| 7.1 Public Meetings..... | 10 |
| 7.2 Stakeholder Group Presentations | 10 |
| 7.3 Community Events | 11 |
| 8. SCOPING REPORT..... | 11 |
| 9. PUBLIC REVIEW OF DRAFT SECTION 203 FS/EIS..... | 11 |
| 9.1 Review Period and Notification | 12 |
| 9.2 Agency Involvement..... | 12 |
| 9.2.1 Project Interest Committee..... | 12 |
| 9.2.2 Regulatory Compliance Work Group..... | 12 |
| 9.2.3 Other Interested Agencies and Tribes..... | 12 |
| 9.2.4 Interagency Briefing | 13 |
| 9.3 Public Involvement..... | 13 |
| 9.3.1 Public Meetings | 13 |
| 9.3.2 Web Site..... | 13 |
| 9.3.3 Presentations | 13 |
| 9.4 Public Comment Collection and Analysis..... | 14 |
| 9.4.1 Comment Coding and Content Analysis Program..... | 14 |
| 9.4.2 Public Comment Report | 14 |
| 10. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND COORDINATION DOCUMENTATION | 14 |

**OREGON INTERNATIONAL PORT OF COOS BAY
COOS BAY CHANNEL MODIFICATION
SECTION 203 FS/EIS
PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT PLAN**

1. ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| COC | Cogan Owens Cogan, LLC; public involvement consultant |
| Corps | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |
| DEA | David Evans and Associates, Inc.; prime consultant and project manager |
| EIS | Environmental Impact Statement |
| FS/EIS | Section 203 Feasibility Study and Environmental Impact Statement |
| NEPA | National Environmental Policy Act |
| OECD | Oregon Economic and Community Development Department |
| Partner Agencies | Corps, POCB |
| Plan | Public and Agency Involvement Plan |
| POCB | Oregon International Port of Coos Bay |
| Section 203 Study, FS | Feasibility study of potential navigation improvements under the authority granted by Section 203 of WRDA 1986. |

2. PROJECT BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION

2.1 PROPOSED ACTION

The Oregon International Port of Coos Bay (POCB) is proposing channel modifications to the existing Coos Bay Navigational Channel. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) will determine if the FS/EIS prepared by the POCB in support of this project complies with federal laws and regulations, and therefore should be recommended to Congress for authorization.

The channel modifications would include:

- Dredging of the existing channel from the entrance at the Pacific Ocean to the railroad bridge located at approximately river mile (RM) 9.2 to increase channel depth from approximately 37 feet to 51 feet.
- Widening of the channel from approximately 300 feet to 500 feet from the entrance at the Pacific Ocean to RM 9.2.
- Potential modifications to the mouth and entrance and the jetties resulting from the channel widening and deepening.

Dredged materials would be disposed of at a variety of locations, contingent on testing, including ocean, nearshore, shoreline and upland. Further analysis is required to determine the amount of dredging; to finalize the ocean/inlet dredging depths; and to determine the need for and desirability of modifications to the jetties.

In conjunction with the channel deepening, POCB is proposing:

- Development of an intermodal container terminal on the North Spit of lower Coos Bay, with the following major components:
 - Navigation channel and berthing area, including turning basin capable of accommodating vessels with 50 feet of draft, and vessels up to 1,325 feet in length with a beam of up to 185 feet or 22 containers, wide. (PS-Class vessel).
 - Wharf or dock, capable of berthing at least two large vessels.
 - Container yard, sized and configured to efficiently handle the cargo generated by the anticipated number, size and frequency of vessels intended to call at the terminal.
 - Terminal entry facilities for import and export cargo processing and security activities; access for vendor deliveries, employees and repair vehicles..
 - Intermodal rail facilities, either on dock or near dock, depending on the relative merits of the sites and opportunities for providing separate facilities on property reasonably near the container terminal.
- Improvements to the railway corridor from the North Spit to Eugene, Oregon to transport good off-loaded from container vessels.
- An ecological restoration program that exceeds regulatory standards and that includes short and long-term restoration actions at a variety of locations including ocean, nearshore, and at the shoreline.

2.2 PURPOSE AND NEED

The purposes of the proposed Federal action are to:

1. Respond to growing needs for capacity for large container vessels at ports on the West Coast of the US;
2. Provide economic benefits to the national economy by accommodating large container vessels, thereby reducing costs of transporting goods among Pacific Rim countries and maintaining US competitiveness in the global marketplace;
3. Improve security for international movement of goods by developing an additional facility for large container vessels in a new location on the US West Coast;
4. Improve safety and efficiency of navigation in the Coos Bay Navigational Channel by providing a larger area for vessel handling and maneuvering; and
5. Have a net beneficial effect on the estuarine ecosystem in the vicinity of Coos Bay.

The project is needed to accommodate large container vessels, which are used by Pacific Rim shippers transporting a wide variety of consumer goods, as well as import production commodities for manufacturing firms and U.S. produced goods for export. The volume of container traffic has increased significantly during the past ten years, and growth is expected to remain strong. Ocean carriers are responding to the growth opportunities by using larger and larger vessels. Currently, the average vessel calling at US West Coast ports carries 6,500 TEUs (20-foot equivalent units), but vessels capable of carrying 12,000 TEUs are becoming more

common. The larger vessels can transport containers more efficiently and at lower costs than smaller vessels. For navigation safety, a navigational channel should be at least 10 percent deeper than the draft of the largest vessels that utilize the channel, as well as wide enough to allow safe vessel maneuvering. Existing Coos Bay port facilities are not accessible to many larger ships because of depth and width limitations in the navigational channel.

In addition to deep-draft ports, large container vessels require ports with terminals that are large enough to accommodate the containers once they are off-loaded, and that are connected to the railway system to move the containers on land. Currently, only five ports on the US west coast (Los Angeles, Long Beach and Oakland, California, and Tacoma and Seattle, Washington) can accommodate these large container vessels, and additional capacity is needed. Container vessel traffic will likely exceed the capacity of existing terminals by 2015, if not sooner. In addition, should one of the existing deep-draft ports be significantly damaged (for example, by a natural disaster), it could have a major impact on the national economy. Coos Bay is geographically separated from the other deep-draft ports and, therefore, would be unlikely to be damaged by the same event affecting another major West Coast port.

Past development and resource extraction within and near Coos Bay have negatively affected the local ecosystem. Impacts have included habitat degradation and loss, declines in fish and wildlife populations, spread of invasive species, and worsened water quality, among others. Ecosystem restoration is needed to offset the effects of the proposed channel modifications and development, as well as some of the effects of past actions.

2.3 SECTION 203 AND NEPA

Under the authority of Section 203 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, POCB will be conducting a feasibility study of the proposed channel modifications. Section 203 states (in part) that “A non-Federal interest may on its own undertake a feasibility study of a proposed harbor or inland harbor project and submit it to the Secretary [of the Army]”. The purpose of the Section 203 study is to determine whether a Federal interest exists in implementing the channel modifications proposed by POCB. The Corps will determine whether the study complies with federal laws and regulations and whether it should be recommended to Congress for authorization.

As a federal agency, the Corps must comply with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in making its Section 203 determination. Pursuant to NEPA, the Corps has determined that an environmental impact statement (EIS) is needed to assess the potential effects of POCB’s proposal and alternatives to that proposal. NEPA governs environmental analysis of projects that involve funding or approval from a federal agency. The Corps is the NEPA lead agency; no cooperating agencies have been formally designated under 40 CFR 1508.5.

The Section 203 Feasibility Study and the EIS will be prepared concurrently.

Prior to approval of Corps assistance on this project in May ‘07, POCB contracted with David Evans and Associates, Inc. (DEA) to develop and coordinate approval of the associated environmental, transportation and railroad compliance, permitting, and compliance for the project. DEA has, in turn, subcontracted with Cogan Owens Cogan, LLC (COC) for public and agency involvement.

3. PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THIS PLAN

The purpose of this Public Involvement Plan is to define the elements of an ongoing public and agency involvement program for the preparation of a combined Section 203 Feasibility Study and EIS, as well as any other required documentation for the project. Corps regulations (ER 1105-2-100) establish requirements for public involvement, collaboration and coordination in USACE planning studies. The objectives of the Corps' public involvement requirements are: 1) to provide information about proposed Corps activities to the public; 2) to make the public's desires, needs, and concerns known to decision-makers; 3) to provide for consultation with the public before decisions are reached; and, 4) to consider the public's views in reaching decisions. NEPA charges Corps, as lead agency, to a) make diligent efforts to involve the public in preparing and implementing their NEPA procedures, and b) provide public notice of NEPA-related hearings, public meetings, and the availability of environmental documents so as to inform those persons and agencies who may be interested or affected. (40 C.F.R. 1506.6)

This Plan sets forth the strategy for communicating with agencies, the public and other interested parties about the project. It defines the tools, timing and strategies for obtaining public and agency input. Primary program elements include:

- Project Communication and Coordination
- Agency Involvement Methods
- Public Involvement Methods
- Scoping Report
- Public Review of DEIS
- Public Involvement and Coordination Documentation

At the completion of scoping, this Plan will be reviewed and revised as needed to incorporate appropriate public and agency involvement elements for the remainder of the Section 203 FS/EIS process. The intent is to have the scoping processes help inform the design of appropriate public and agency involvement elements for the DEIS public review process and other phases of the project.

In developing the Plan, the following assumptions have been made:

- By definition, this plan will be flexible and regularly revised to reflect changing project needs.
- Outreach to stakeholder groups will vary based upon the role of the group in the regulatory process.
- To the extent feasible, public outreach will address all project elements simultaneously rather than independently.

4. DISTRIBUTION AND UPDATES

Copies of the Public and Agency Involvement Plan will be on file at:

- DEA
- COC
- POCB
- Corps
- OECDD Coos Bay Office
- Governor's Office

Copies of this Plan will be distributed to the Project Interest Committee and Regulatory Compliance Work Group (see Sections 6.1 and 6.2).

This Plan and updates will be posted on the World Wide Web site for the project at www.CoosBayChannelEIS.com.

5. PROJECT COMMUNICATION AND COORDINATION

This section defines the methods and protocols for communications and coordination specific to public and agency involvement. Additional protocols for project communication are defined in the Project Guide prepared by DEA.

5.1 Project Mailing List

Project mailing lists will be assembled and maintained for:

- A project management team and governmental entities list; and
- An interested parties list of affected property owners and businesses, parties commenting on any aspect of the project, and any individuals or organizations that request to be on the list to receive project information.

The following assumptions are made about developing and maintaining project mailing lists:

- Up to 500 agencies, organizations and individuals may be included on the lists.
- The lists will be maintained in a readily-accessible electronic format.
- Entries will be coded by affiliation, geographic location and other attributes.
- Lists will be updated on a weekly basis based upon information provided to COC by DEA, partner agencies and other parties.
- Any distribution of the mailing lists to other parties must be approved by POCB and DEA.

5.2 Project Web Site

A project web site, www.CoosBayChannelEIS.com will be established and maintained as a mechanism for up-to-date project information dissemination, queries and comment. In establishing a project Web site, the following assumptions are made:

- To ensure neutrality in the Section 203 FS/EIS process, a domain will be registered for a new Web site, rather than utilizing an existing Web site.
- Links will be provided to Web sites maintained by partner agencies and the Governor's Office; no links will be provided to interest group Web sites.
- The web site will be updated as needed throughout the process.
- COC will design and maintain the Web page based upon information provided by DEA and partner agencies.

Information inquiries and comments via the Web page will be forwarded by COC to appropriate parties for response. COC will work with those parties to ensure timely responses and be copied with the responses. The DEA and POCB project managers will be copied with all inquiries and responses for inclusion in the administrative record.

5.3 Communication with Media, Elected Officials, Interest Groups and General Public

The purpose of this section is to establish the communication protocols for all types of external project communications -- press releases, newsletters, media briefings, telephone interviews, as well as communications with elected officials.

5.3.1 Media Communications

Regular communication with the media on the Section 203 FS/EIS process, in particular the schedule and nature of public involvement activities, is intended to keep the public informed and to communicate public input opportunities. A media communications program will include:

- Media contacts.
- Media packets on the process/schedule and related informational materials for distribution at key points in the process.
- Contacting the media for publicity of public involvement events.
- Summary notes of any media contact.

COC will serve as the point of contact for Section 203 FS/EIS process-related media contacts, with referral as appropriate to DEA, POCB, and Corps project managers; all other media contacts will be referred to the POCB and/or the appropriate agency. It is assumed that partner agencies each have specific media protocols for agency responses to media information requests and interviews.

All media contacts will be documented on a media contact form for inclusion in the administrative record; copies will be distributed to the POCB, Corps and DEA project managers.

5.3.2 Communication with Elected Officials

COC will serve as the point of contact for Section 203 FS/EIS process-related communications from elected officials, with referral as appropriate to, POCB, Corps and DEA project managers;

all other contacts will be referred to the POCB and/or the appropriate agency. A program for communication with elected officials will include:

- Contacts with federal, state and local elected officials to offer briefings and/or informational packets.
- Briefings as requested. Requests for briefings will be coordinated by COC with POCB, Corps and DEA.
- Summary notes of any briefings conducted.
- Responses to inquiries. All contacts will be documented on a contact form for inclusion in the administrative record; copies will be distributed to the POCB, Corps and DEA project managers.

5.3.3 Communications with Interest Groups and General Public

All telephone, email and written communications with interest groups and members of the general public will be documented on a contact form for inclusion in the administrative record; copies will be distributed to COC and to the POCB, Corps and DEA project managers.

5.4 Meeting Notes

Summary notes will be prepared for all public and agency involvement meetings. All meeting records will be distributed to the POCB, Corps and DEA project managers, who will distribute to other team members as appropriate. Meeting notes will be included in the administrative record.

5.5 Comment Collection, Tracking and Response Program

Public and agency comments will be collected, tracked and responded to pursuant to the protocols defined above. A program for coding, tracking and responding to comments will be developed to:

- Code all comments received.
- Track dissemination to appropriate parties for responses.
- Track responses.
- Maintain copies of all comments and responses for the administrative record.

While COC will be responsible for the coding and tracking of comments, DEA, POCB and Corps will take the lead in responding to comments.

5.6 Informational Materials

A variety of informational materials will be prepared for dissemination through project mailing lists, for posting on the project web page and links, and at public and agency meetings, community events and briefings, including sets of *Frequently Asked Questions*. Informational materials will be project-neutral; advocacy materials will not be developed or distributed as part of the Section 203 FS/EIS process.

General informational materials will be prepared by DEA and other team members as part of preparation of the Section 203 FS/EIS. COC will ensure that these are in a readily understandable and visually pleasing format for public distribution. Targeted materials may be developed for specific groups or events as needed.

6. AGENCY INVOLVEMENT METHODS

The purpose of this section is to identify agency involvement activities, based on three tiers of agency involvement and government-to-government consultation:

- Project Interest Committee – Key agencies and interest groups.
- Regulatory Compliance Work Group -- Project Interest Committee agencies and other federal, state and local agencies with regulatory authority.
- Other Interested Agencies and Tribes.

6.1 Project Interest Committee

A Project Interest Committee (PIC), comprised of decision-makers from key regulatory agencies and interest groups, will be actively involved in all phases of the Section 203 FS/EIS process. Members may include:

- POCB
- Corps
- Governor's Office
- State agencies, e.g. Oregon Economic and Community Development Department, Oregon Department of Transportation, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife, Oregon Department of State Lands, Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development
- Local governments, e.g. Coos County, City of Coos Bay, City of North Bend
- Longshoremen, e.g. International Longshore and Warehouse Union (ILWU)
- Associated General Contractors (AGC)
- Environmental/conservation groups, e.g. Coos Bay Watershed Association
- Marine industry.

A chartering meeting will be conducted to identify roles and responsibilities and the process for coordination among committee members and other groups. The Committee will meet approximately every four to six weeks initially, and then on an as needed basis, to oversee development of technical studies, review draft Section 203 FS/EIS elements, define regulatory and procedural requirements, and provide hands-on advice and assistance in development of the Feasibility Study, EIS and other related products.

6.2 Regulatory Compliance Work Group

A Regulatory Compliance Work Group (RCWG) will be established to advise on the project's regulatory acceptance. In addition to Project Interest Committee members, invitees to participate may include:

- Local governments, e.g., Coos County, City of Coos Bay, City of North Bend

- Oregon Economic and Community Development Department
- Oregon Department of Transportation
- State Historic Preservation Office
- Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
- U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
- Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife
- National Marine Fisheries Service
- Oregon Department of State Lands
- Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development
- Oregon State Parks and Recreation Department
- US Forest Service, Siuslaw National Forest
- State Marine Board
- Central Oregon and Pacific and/or Union Pacific railroads

Up to four meetings of the work group are assumed during the scoping and Draft Section 203 FS/EIS review phases.

6.3 Other Interested Agencies and Tribes

6.3.1 Interested Agencies

Agencies without regulatory jurisdiction will generally be considered as stakeholder groups. Except for briefings and scoping sessions with these bodies, they will generally not be directly included in agency involvement activities, although they will be welcome to attend RCWG meetings.

During the scoping and DEIS review phases, briefings with non-regulatory agencies will be provided on a by request basis, subject to staff availability.

6.3.2 Tribes

Tribes will be invited to participate in RCWG meetings. Any government-to-government consultation will occur in accordance with Corps protocols.

6.4 Briefings

Upon request, briefings with key elected and appointed officials will be provided on the project and the Section 203 FS/EIS process. Up to ten (10) briefings are assumed. These briefings will typically be conducted at local government offices.

6.5 Protocols

Agency meetings as outlined in this section will not be conducted as public meetings, i.e., no public notice or opportunities for public input will be provided. Exceptions would include any meetings of decision-making bodies, e.g., Coos County Board of Commissioners. In those cases, public notice and opportunities for public input will be provided in accordance with agency procedures.

Protocols for meeting notes, media communications, and other communications will be as set forth in Section 5.

7. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT METHODS

The purpose of this section is to identify public involvement activities during the scoping and Draft Section 203 FS/EIS review phases. In addition to the methods described below, public involvement tools will include a project Web site (for both information dissemination and input), media outreach, mailing lists, and comment tracking/response as described in Section 5 above.

7.1 Public Meetings

Public meetings will be conducted at appropriate times during the process to provide information on the project and to solicit public input, including at the following milestones:

- During the scoping phase to obtain input early in the Section 203 FS/EIS process on purpose and need, significant issues and a potential range of alternatives.
- During the public review period for the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS.
- Presentation of the Final Section 203 FS/EIS.

The number and location of public meetings at each milestone will be determined in consultation with the Project Interest Committee. During the scoping phase, at least two public scoping meetings are recommended to enable the broadest possible opportunity for public involvement.

A broad-based program to advertise the meetings will be developed in consultation with partner agencies. All input will be tracked and responded to as described in Section 5.5.

Assumptions for public meetings include:

- All public meetings will be held in the Coos Bay area.
- All public meetings will be facilitated by Terry Buchholz and Steve Marks, with stenographers present to record verbatim comments.
- A range of opportunities to comment will be provided, including oral testimony, questionnaires and comment cards.
- Public scoping meetings will be conducted in an open house format, rather than as public hearings.
- Public meetings during the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS review process will be conducted in a hearing format.

Protocols for meeting notes, preparing a record of comments and responses, media communications, and other communications will be as set forth in Section 5.

7.2 Stakeholder Group Presentations

Partner agencies and the consultant team will respond to requests for presentations to stakeholder groups based upon protocols developed by the partner agencies. Assumptions include:

- Efforts will be made to combine presentations to similar types of groups.
- Meeting notes will be prepared and comments tracked and responded to as described in Sections 5.3 and 5.4, respectively.

7.3 Community Events

Community events may be utilized for the distribution of background materials and to informally solicit input through questionnaires and other similar mechanisms. A calendar of community events will be maintained by COC.

Assumptions include:

- Participation in up to three (3) community events where information is informally disseminated and comments solicited through questionnaires or other similar means. These will not be public meetings.
- Summary notes will be prepared and comments tracked and responded to as described in Section 5.5.

8. SCOPING REPORT

A scoping report will be prepared that:

- Summarizes the scoping process.
- Provides a synthesis of agency and public scoping comments.
- Identifies questions and comments that merit response.
- Identifies and frames significant issues to be addressed in the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS.
- Recommends public involvement strategies for the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS public review process.
- Includes a compilation and coding of all comments received.

Two drafts of a scoping report will be disseminated to and reviewed by the Project Interest Committee prior to finalizing.

9. PUBLIC REVIEW OF DRAFT SECTION 203 FS/EIS

9.1 Review Period and Notification

A period of 45 days will be provided for formal comment on the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS.

Notification of the release of the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS, the public comment period, and public comment opportunities (including public meetings) will be provided via:

- Notice on the project website;
- Notice to all parties on the project mailing list;
- Press release distributed to the project media list; and
- Publication in *The World* if required by Corps or POCB procedures.

The Congressional delegation will also be directly notified.

9.2 Agency Involvement

9.2.1 Project Interest Committee

The Project Interest Committee will be provided opportunities to:

- Review and comment on an administrative draft of the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS;
- Participate in any agency and public meetings during the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS public review period;
- Request briefings from project staff to respective elected/appointed bodies or senior agency staff on the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS contents and review process;
- Request one-on-one meetings with project staff to discuss preliminary comments on the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS; and
- Submit formal comments on the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS.

9.2.2 Regulatory Compliance Work Group

RCWG agencies will be provided opportunities to:

- Participate in any agency and public meetings during the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS public review period;
- Request briefings from project staff process to respective elected/appointed bodies or senior agency staff on the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS contents and review;
- Request one-on-one meetings with project staff to discuss preliminary comments on the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS; and
- Submit formal comments on the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS.

9.2.3 Other Interested Agencies and Tribes

Agencies without regulatory jurisdiction will be invited to participate in the interagency briefing described below, but otherwise considered as stakeholder groups.

Government-to-government tribal consultation will occur in accordance with Corps protocols. In addition, opportunities will be provided opportunities to:

- Participate in any agency and public meetings during the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS public review period;
- Request briefings from project staff to tribal councils or staff on the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS contents and review process;
- Request one-on-one meetings with project staff to discuss preliminary comments on the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS; and
- Submit formal comments on the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS.

9.2.4 Interagency Briefing

The Project Interest Committee, Regulatory Compliance Work Group, Interested Agencies (agencies without regulatory jurisdiction), and Tribes will be invited to participate in a briefing on

the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS. The intent of this briefing will not be to receive comment, but rather to:

- Summarize the process for development of a range of alternatives;
- Identify key findings from the environmental analysis;
- Respond to questions about the review process and the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS analysis;
- Explain the process for submittal of comments on the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS; and
- Outline the tasks and schedule for completion of the NEPA process.

The goal will be to conduct this briefing within two weeks of release of the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS.

9.3 Public Involvement

9.3.1 Public Meetings

As described in Section 7.1, public meetings will be conducted during the public comment period to provide information on the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS contents and review process and to solicit public input. These meetings will be scheduled as early as possible in the comment period and scheduled in consultation with the Project Interest Committee. The format of these meetings will be designed in consultation with Corps and Partners. Public testimony will be taken and court recorders will be present to record verbatim comments.

9.3.2 Web Site

The Draft Section 203 FS/EIS will be posted on the project web site and a comment page included. The web site will be advertised as a primary mechanism for submitting comments.

9.3.3 Presentations

POCB and the consultant team will respond to requests for presentations to stakeholder groups on a case-by-case basis in consultation with Corps. Assumptions include:

- The purpose and content of these briefings will be as described above for the interagency briefing;
- No “formal” comments on the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS will be accepted at these presentations, rather stakeholder groups will be requested to submit comments directly to Corps;
- Discussion at these presentations will not be considered as part of the record for purposes of commenting on the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS.

9.4 Public Comment Collection and Analysis

9.4.1 Comment Coding and Content Analysis Program

A comment coding and content analysis program will be developed in consultation with DEA, POCB, and Corps. That program will enable the categorization of comments by type and origin, as well as opinions about specific alternatives. Substantive comments will be categorized by

issues and sub-issues. Substantive comments will then be consolidated and framed for response in the Final Section 203 FS/EIS.

9.4.2 Public Comment Report

A report summarizing the Draft Section 203 FS/EIS review process and agency and public comment received will be prepared for inclusion in the Final Section 203 FS/EIS, posting on the project website, and other appropriate distribution. A draft Public Comment Report will be provided to Partner agencies for review prior to being finalized.

10. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND COORDINATION DOCUMENTATION

Public and agency involvement and coordination will be documented in the following reports:

- Scoping Report
- Final Section 203 FS/EIS

Public information materials, communications, meeting notes, comments and responses will be included in the project Analysis File.